

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

---

MARK BREAKIRON,	:	
	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	
	:	
MARTIN HORN, Commissioner,	:	No. 00 - 300:
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections;	:	
CONNOR BLAINE, Superintendent of the	:	Judge Standish
State Correctional Institution at Greene, and	:	
JOSEPH P. MAZURKIEWICZ,	:	<b>THIS IS A CAPITAL CASE.</b>
Superintendent of the State Correctional	:	
Institution at Rockview,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

---

**ORDER**

---

And now, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005, upon consideration of Petitioner's Motion for Production of Documents Withheld, or in the Alternative, for a More Detailed Privilege Log and Consolidated Memorandum of Law, and Respondent's response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion is GRANTED in PART.

By the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005, Respondents shall provide Petitioner with all documents it has withheld under the claim of work product privilege.

BY THE COURT:

---

Hon. William Standish, J.

Dated:

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

---

MARK BREAKIRON,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	No. 00 - 300:
	:	
MARTIN HORN, Commissioner, Pennsylvania Department of Corrections;	:	Judge Standish
CONNOR BLAINE, Superintendent of the State Correctional Institution at Greene, and	:	<b>THIS IS A CAPITAL CASE.</b>
JOSEPH P. MAZURKIEWICZ, Superintendent of the State Correctional Institution at Rockview,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

---

**ORDER**

---

And now, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005, upon consideration of Petitioner's Motion for Production of Documents Withheld, or in the Alternative, for a More Detailed Privilege Log and Consolidated Memorandum of Law, and Respondent's response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion is GRANTED in PART.

By the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005, Respondents shall provide Petitioner a privilege log detailing the following for each document withheld:.

- (1) Identify the type of document (such as letter, memo, or notes)
- (2) Identify the author(s) of the document.
- (3) Identify the sender(s) of the document.
- (4) Identifying each recipient of the document.
- (5) The date(s) on which the document was prepared.

- (6) A brief description of the nature and subject matter of the document(s)
- (7) The circumstances upon which the claim of work product protection is based.

BY THE COURT:

---

Hon. William Standish, J.

Dated: